Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arı/	10/679,264	OKAZAKI ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
against		Elizabeth Ivey	1775
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>			
(1) Elizabeth Ivey.		(3)	
(2) <u>Toyohiko Konno</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 September 2006		Time: <u>2:15 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Claims 18 and 20 and 21			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a write ecord of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant	'Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was requested to allow an amendment to the claims to include all of the limitations of claim 20 into claim 18 and to cancel claim 20 and claims 3-7 and 13-17. It would also be necessary to change the dependency of claim 21 to depend from claim 18 rather than claim 20 (now cancelled). Applicant agreed to the amendment, change in dependency and cancellation of claims as requested.